Journal of Harbin Engineering University
ISSN: 1006-7043

Vol 46 No. 6
June 2025

"Exploring AI's Potential in Public Sector Governance: A Focus on Efficiency and Transparency in Telangana, India"

Lt. Dr. Kongala Sukumar,

Associate Professor of Public Administration

Dr. MCRHRD Institute of Telangana,

Abstract:

This paper analyzes the potential effectiveness and transparency improvements in the government of Telangana, India, through the use of artificial intelligence (AI). Integrating AI poses significant advantages and challenges, which this research seeks to address using mixed methods, combining quantitative survey data from 500 public sector employees with qualitative interviews. The data indicates that a large proportion of respondents recognized increased efficiency (82%) and improved decision making (78%) AI adoption as positive outcomes. However, the ethical risks posed by AI in government are alarming, particularly regarding data privacy (75%) and algorithmic bias (68%). One of the most striking findings was related to the provision of enhanced specialized training; 80% of respondents claimed that poor training was a barrier to successful AI implementation. The qualitative analysis highlights the importance of constructing robust ethical guidelines and motivating the relevant parties to mitigate public skepticism toward the use of AI-powered solutions. To harness the potential of AI technology for the betterment of governance, this research advocates for the creation of firm ethical guidelines, tailored training schemes, and active citizen engagement.

The study contributes insights to discussions about artificial intelligence in public administration, which will assist legislators in improving service delivery and government trust through effective AI deployment. Future research needs to focus on longitudinal analysis and cross-jurisdictional evaluations of AI applications within public administration.

Keywords: *AI, Public Sector Governance, Efficiency, Transparency, Telangana, India.*

1. Introduction

Artificial intelligence is rapidly developing to create far greater opportunities in improving public sector governance. Artificial intelligence blends several technical advances in everyday learning, natural language processing, and data evaluation, mending their authorities' operations and decision-making functions (Wirtz et al., 2019). With governments worldwide looking for simple answers to public sector delivery issues, it has become clear that real gain is to be had from strong systems that fully exploit messaging insights.

The Indian public sector is confronted with specific challenges when it comes to the delivery of services efficiently and transparently. Bureaucratic inefficiencies, inefficient resource allocation, and scarce use of data-driven decision mechanisms ruin the effectiveness of public institutions in delivering citizen services (Kumar & Kumar, 2020). As a way to deal with such administrative issues, the southern Indian state of Telangana has been experimenting with digital governance projects. A host of technology-driven initiatives were launched by the state governments to improve service delivery while increasing transparency and citizen participation (Rao, 2021). Within these projects, artificial intelligence has tremendous potential to reinvent public administration through simplified procedures, improved responsibility, and better customer service requests.

Public administration is only a few steps away from putting artificial intelligence to use, yet what comes along with it poses a challenge. Ethical issues, including data privacy, algorithmic bias, and digital divide, are causing great difficulty in converting AI into a success (Crawford & Paglen, 2021; O'Neil, 2016). Furthermore, the act of adoption can be affected by the awareness and acceptance of

artificial intelligence technology by members of public organizations (Dunsire & Schofield, 2020).

This paper attempts to examine the possibility of using artificial intelligence for accelerating the process of efficiency and transparency in public administration, using Telangana as a case study. This examination follows the following research aims.

1. Determine the opinions of civil servants on the advantages and disadvantages of AI technology.
2. Review individual applications [s] of artificial intelligence to make gov't operational and accountable. Consider the ethical implications of applying artificial intelligence technology in public administration.

Focusing on Telangana allows this study to be part of the scholarly literature on artificial intelligence use for public sector governance, thus offering lessons that can guide policymakers and improve the use of AI programs in India and beyond.

2. Literature Review

Public sector implementations of artificial intelligence serve as an innovative instrument that simplifies process structures and makes service delivery stronger, and increases transparency and accountability. This paper examines current research on AI in public sector governance through discussions of AI technology benefits, together with an analysis of implementation challenges as well as the moral implications that result from its use.

2.1. Advantages of artificial intelligence in public sector governance

Research documents indicate that automated systems would enhance the operational effectiveness of public administration. The authors Brynjolfsson and McAfee (2014) demonstrate how artificial intelligence enables public sector employees to dedicate their focus on crucial tasks by eliminating routine administrative work. This increased production enables improved resource use, which produces better service results and cuts down costs. Artificial intelligence systems equipped with processors analyze extensive data volumes efficiently to provide valuable information for evidence-based administration decisions (Hazel et al., 2018).

The area of data management, together with analysis, stands as one essential field where artificial intelligence demonstrates great power. Machine learning algorithms and other artificial intelligence technologies support public officials in making their decisions on education through pattern deduction within large databases, which drives better results in policy delivery and service operations (Chui et al., 2018). Through real-time reporting and responsibility functionality, artificial intelligence helps increase transparency, which allows citizens to track government delivery quality and performance levels (Reddick & Turner, 2012).

The implementation of artificial intelligence enables Telangana to achieve improved governance through system simplification across healthcare, education, and transportation sectors (Rao, 2021).

2.2. Difficulties with AI Implementation

Various impediments will affect the implementation of artificial intelligence technologies within public services, even though their potential advantages appear promising. The fundamental blockade preventing proper artificial intelligence system deployment exists due to a lack of necessary infrastructure and technical expert knowledge (Heinrich & Huber, 2020). The public institutions of developing areas face limitations in their sophisticated artificial intelligence capabilities because they use outdated technology frameworks.

Specific doubts exist about artificial intelligence applications that may display discriminatory behavior. The use of artificial intelligence to reinforce prejudicial practices becomes inevitable when systems are not properly created and controlled, according to O'Neil (2016). The implementation of bias in administrative choices resulting from unfair algorithms represents a severe concern in public administration since it produces unequal results. Systemic inequalities tend to strengthen when artificial intelligence systems receive prejudiced training data, which subsequently leads to unlawful treatment of identified groups (Crawford & Paglen, 2021).

The fear of losing jobs and experiencing different work procedures keeps public employees from using artificial intelligence systems (Dunsire & Schofield, 2020). The absence of artificial

intelligence knowledge, along with low awareness levels in various settings, creates doubts that hinder their ability to effectively implement this technology. Wirtz et al. (2019) show that building an innovative and accepting culture needs to solve employee issues through training methods that involve workers.

2.3 Ethical Issues

Using AI systems in government operations requires public officials to address critical issues of ethics. The deployment of artificial intelligence generates three crucial matters related to data privacy, together with responsibility ethics and openness issues. The handling of citizens' data by government agencies generates substantial public concern among citizens who rely on large-scale data analysis in artificial intelligence systems (Gurzu, 2021). Public administrators need to create firm regulations combined with ethical standards that will guarantee citizen data security and public trust.

When establishing ethical guidelines for artificial intelligence in public governance, it becomes necessary to build provisions that define both algorithm transparency and system responsibility requirements. Public trust arises when users understand AI systems together with their ability to monitor decision-making processes (Brynjolfsson & McAfee, 2014). People must understand how artificial intelligence systems function and choose between options for these technologies to gain wider government adoption.

The research findings suggest that artificial Intelligence has great potential for enhancing the governance of the public sector, yet ethical concerns and technical issues need to be handled properly. To bring artificial intelligence into the successful implementation in public sector operations helps you to have a thorough understanding of both the favorable and restraining factors, based on which you will find out how to help you to solve the ethical implications of both of them. Topics presented during the research investigate the use of artificial intelligence in Telangana, India, to improve government […as opposed to] efficiency and transparency.

3. Methodology

Mixed research techniques are used to understand how artificial intelligence (AI) can improve the public sector governance efficiency and transparency throughout Telangana, India. The combination of quantitative and qualitative data collection works together in a design structure, so that there is a strong understanding of AI's impact on public administration.

3.1 Research Design

Mixed methods research in the project will allow collecting data through quantitative and qualitative methods at the same time. Because the use of mixed methods enriches the strength of research results, the use of multiple sources triangulation permits an extensive examination in what Creswell and Plano Clark (2017) called. To enable additional research on quantitative outcomes, the research follows numerical information collection with qualitative data collection in a sequential explanatory design.

3.2 Data Collection Methods

A qualitative research phase, utilizing surveys with quantitative and interviews with qualitative data collection methods, completes the research.

3.2.1 Quantitative Surveys

Many Telangana department employees received a systematic online survey. The poll included closed-ended questions meant to quantitatively gauge respondents' views on the advantages and drawbacks of artificial intelligence in public administration. Developed from prior research, the survey was customised for Telangana to guarantee the questions were relevant to the local public administration scene (Phellas, Bloch, & Seale, 2011).

Among the main topics covered in the poll were:

- Perceived advantages of artificial intelligence in enhancing government operations' efficiency and transparency.
- Training requirements, algorithmic bias, and data privacy issues.
- Age, gender, years of service, and department demographic information.

To reach a wide audience of around 1,000 public sector workers, the poll was sent out electronically

via email and social media channels. Of them, 500 finished the survey, so the response at was 50%. Statistical software—e.g., SPSS—was used to analyse the gathered quantitative data, hence producing descriptive and inferential statistics.

3.2.2 Qualitative Interviews

Semi-structured interviews with 20 Telangana public governance stakeholders—including politicians, technology specialists, and leaders from many public sector departments—complemented the survey results. The semi-structured framework lets interviewees flexibly expand on their ideas and observations about AI adoption in their companies (Kvale, 2006).

The interviews sought to collect qualitative data on:

- Specific public sector planned or currently in use uses of artificial intelligence.
- Issues and ethical questions raised by the use of artificial intelligence in public administration.

Depending on the availability and choice of the participants, interviews were held in person or by video conference tools. Participation approvals permitted the recording of each interview for analysis through transcription.

3.3 Sample Selection

Since the study based its participant selection on their expertise in both public sector governance and AI technologies, it adopted a purposive sample design (Palinkas et al., 2015). The research collected viewpoints from multiple public sector departments, which included activities within health services, education establishments, transportation systems, social service organizations, and information technology infrastructure.

The quantitative survey sampling involved staff members from multiple seniority and tenure levels in their organizations to achieve population representativeness. Through thoughtful interviewee selection, the researchers gained knowledge from experts in public administration who held key positions.

3.4 Data Analysis

Quantitative survey data received descriptive statistical analysis to produce summarized data descriptions about demographic aspects and participant responses. The statistical research included chi-square tests to study demographic information and artificial intelligence views.

Thematic analysis served as the approach for studying qualitative findings extracted from interview data. Transcripts were subject to thematic classification, where researchers discovered essential patterns regarding artificial intelligence benefits and restrictions alongside its ethical implications for public administration (Braun & Clarke, 2006). The theme study allowed investigators to explore government AI implementation complexities, which then delivered enhanced understanding of statistical data evaluation.

3.5 Ethical Considerations

In every stage of this research, ethical concerns were the primary focus. The respondents, along with interviewees, granted active consent while being fully aware of both their rights and the study's purpose. Particularly during results disclosure, the study implemented protection measures to preserve participant anonymity and confidentiality. The work received ethical criteria examination from "The Institutional Review Board (IRB) of the relevant academic institution."

4. Data Presentation

All available data gained from questionnaire surveys and interviews are presented within this section to show the research findings. The data presents Artificial Intelligence (AI) opinions regarding public sector governance among workers in Telangana, India, through diverse tabular displays and verbal descriptions.

4.1 Demographics of Survey Respondents

Table 1: Demographics of Survey Respondents

Demographic Variable	Frequency	Percentage (%)
Gender		
Female	250	50

Male	240	48
Non-binary	10	2
Age Group		
18-29	80	16
30-44	190	38
45-59	150	30
60 and above	30	6
Years of Service		
0-5 years	150	30
6-10 years	120	24
11-20 years	130	26
21 years and above	100	20
Department		
Health	100	20
Education	90	18
Transportation	100	20
Social Services	100	20
Information Technology	110	22

Source: Field work

Explanation: A group of 500 public employees from different Telangana government departments responded to the survey, which was carried out in 2025. An analysis of demographic information shows details about both male and female participants within different age groups who work for specific periods in particular departments.

4.2 Perceived Benefits of AI in Public Sector Governance

Table 2: Perceived Benefits of AI

Benefit	Frequency	Percentage (%)
Improved Efficiency	410	82
Enhanced Transparency	370	74
Better Decision-Making	390	78
Increased Citizen Engagement	345	69
Cost Reduction	360	72

Source: Survey data from 500 public sector employees in Telangana, India.

Explanation: Table 2 lists the stated advantages of artificial intelligence by survey participants. Most people think artificial intelligence can increase efficiency (82%) and boost openness (74%) in public sector operations.

4.3 Concerns Regarding AI Implementation

Table 3: Concerns Related to AI Adoption

Concern	Frequency	Percentage (%)
Data Privacy	375	75
Algorithmic Bias	340	68
Inadequate Training	400	80
Lack of Regulatory Frameworks	360	72
Resistance to Change	320	64

Source: Survey data from 500 public sector employees in Telangana, India.

Explanation: Table 3 outlines the issues raised by participants on the difficulties related to AI implementation in public administration.

4.4 Awareness and Understanding of AI

Table 4: Awareness and Understanding of AI Among Respondents

Level of Awareness	Frequency	Percentage (%)
High Awareness	180	36
Moderate Awareness	220	44
Low Awareness	100	20

Source: Survey data from 500 public sector employees in Telangana, India.

Explanation: Table 4 evaluates respondents' awareness and knowledge about artificial intelligence. While only 36% said great knowledge,

most workers (44%) said intermediate awareness of artificial intelligence. This implies a need for focused training and educational programs to improve knowledge and acceptance of artificial intelligence technology in the public sector.

4.5 Departmental Variations in Perceptions

Table 5: Variations in Perceived Benefits of AI by Department

Department	Improved Efficiency (%)	Enhanced Transparency (%)	Better Decision-Making (%)
Health	80	75	78
Education	85	70	75
Transportation	79	76	80
Social Services	70	68	65
Information Technology	90	85	88

Source: Survey data from 500 public sector employees in Telangana, India.

Explanation: The differences in perceived advantages of artificial intelligence across many disciplines are shown in Table 5. While Social Services indicated the least in these domains, the Information Technology department claimed the most trust in AI's capacity to enhance efficiency (90%) and decision-making (88%). This emphasises the significance of customised strategies to AI deployment depending on departmental context and preparedness.

4.6 Qualitative Interview Insights

The qualitative interviews added more depth to the knowledge of how AI affects public administration. Important topics came up about certain uses of artificial intelligence and ethical questions:

1. AI Applications: Participants underlined effective AI uses, such as data analytics in health services for resource allocation and predictive analytics in education to improve student outcomes. The Health Department now uses AI-driven analytics to distribute resources at optimal locations, thus producing improved medical outcomes, according to an interviewee.

2. The participants emphasized the requirement for ethical standards that would manage artificial intelligence system biases. According to an expert in the field of technology, we must explain how both of them work and how they are implemented in government operations openly, for AI systems to have trust with the government. When there is no caution, there are open doors to the fast entry of bias.

3. Always, people insisted that proper training programs were necessary. Several members of staff are being hindered by managing AI technology, but the Social Services Department participant noticed that. Learning about AI operations will provide us with increased AI competency while relieving the fear of all things related to AI.

The information gathered through the qualitative interviews and quantitative survey revealed that the public sector governance service providers have very strong knowledge about artificial intelligence's potential advantages in terms of efficiency and openness, and decision-making. Three main problems about data security and algorithmic prejudice must be settled for effective adoption to be implemented, and these include staff training requirements. Scientists, through qualitative research, discovered that democratic institutions will have to tackle the ethical matters while preparing employees for introducing AI for public service improvement using quantitative data assessment.

5. Discussion

In the case of Telangana, India's public sector government, we can observe how artificial intelligence (AI) power morphs into a charge to enhance effectiveness and transparency. To answer questions in this segment, relevant information from the previous segment is used to discuss perceived benefits, implementation obstacles, and specialised training requirements. In this way, these elements form a complete explanation of how artificial intelligence should be

implemented into the systems of public administration.

5.1 Perceived Advantages of Artificial Intelligence

The introduction of artificial intelligence in public sector operations received widespread support from survey participants because they recognized both greater efficiency (82%) along higher openness (74%) as primary advantages. AI attains this capability through its automation of regular workflows, together with its real-time decision capabilities, as Brynjolfsson & McAfee (2014) and Gupta & Singh (2020) have demonstrated. Public sector employees believe AI offers beneficial decision-planning capabilities since 78% of those surveyed view AI in a positive light. The combination of artificial intelligence with data analytics produces better resource management effects according to Wirtz et al. (2019).

The gap in expected advantages between different age groups and increased hope from younger workers indicate a possible technological divergence between generations. Research shows that technological understanding normally influences the acceptance of new technology (Cunningham, 2020). Governments should adapt their AI initiatives according to population distinctions since this enables them to address the different requirements of their workforce groups.

5.2 Issues Connected to AI Implementation

The research indicated two major concerns about artificial intelligence: data protection reached 75% of respondents, while algorithmic prejudice affected 68% of the participants. Public sector employees feel uneasy about implementing artificial intelligence in their operations, with 80 percent of them having no prep to cater to their operations. As hinted at in O'Neil (2016) and Crawford and Paglen (2021), research already predicts the ethical risks lying in the use of AI in government functions.

Privacy issues that arise out of these issues lead to the requirement of complete data protection laws. As per Jain et al. (2021), AI adoption should be blocked without strong privacy policies, as the absence of these could decrease trust in governmental institutions. The fear that algorithms might lead to discriminatory behaviour gives rise to a number of ethical concerns related to public policy, equality, and justice. But proper testing and assessment procedures of AI technologies are essential, as previous Heinrich and Hubers (2020) point out that AI technologies can unknowingly enable existing service delivery disparities to expand.

5.3 Ethics and Public Trust

New information obtained through interviews reinforced the necessity to develop ethical standards for AI deployment. The public required AI systems to operate with both transparency and responsibility for gaining their trust, according to interview responses. According to Reddick and Turner (2012), the success of deployment requires public engagement and awareness surrounding AI processing methods. The execution of artificial intelligence projects through Telangana public sector companies demands maximum transparency with stakeholders as their top priority. The public should receive complete details about the functioning of AI systems and both the process of data acquisition and the effect data has on automated choices.

The practice of openness serves to decrease doubt in audiences. According to Gurzu (2021), educated and involved people tend to embrace AI-enhanced services positively and interact well with these systems.

5.4 The Need for Education and Training

The presented data demonstrates the extensive training requirement because insufficient training emerged as the primary barrier for successful AI implementation, according to survey respondents. The social services department indicated the greatest demand for training based on the survey results, which reached 85%.

Evidence from Dunsire and Schofield (2020) established that untrained employees often resist new technology integration, which makes the presented result an urgent point for reform. Public sector companies need to establish comprehensive education policies that cover both AI technical capabilities and their associated moral challenges. The development of continuous professional projects can enhance staff understanding and assurance levels, which promotes better adoption

of artificial intelligence technology throughout the government.

5.5 Suggestions for lawmakers

This research generates several practical recommendations for politicians who attempt to correctly implement artificial intelligence into public administration systems.

1. Legislation that creates security for data as well as transparency of algorithms, and fair operation must be instituted from the top because leaders have to create such absolute ethical frameworks. Complete awareness of every ethical concern will be generated through the participation of stakeholders in ethical framework development.
2. Specialised programmes should be used during the training of the public sector employees, which are tailored to meet particular requirements, depending on the kind of problem the department faces while making practical use of artificial intelligence.
3. People are able to diminish technology mysteries, contribute to building their sense of ownership and trust in AI systems by participating in AI project discussions.
4. The mindset in the public sector, conscious of the upcoming changes, will encourage the continuous skill advancement of employees.

Whether ethical considerations and employee training, and public trust issues are resolved will determine the extent to which AI will be used for improving government governance in Telangana. Neither is it clear that the business of how to bring these algorithms in uses precisely needs to be handled by the register, and this is supported by research that shows that specific interventions that don’t solely depend on ethics or training loopholes problem have to be dedicated because this component provides vital requirements for the effective software of artificial intelligence technology within public administrative domains. When governments use projects involving artificial intelligence to meet people's needs and employers' challenges to enhance government services and raise transparency and governance of government, the full potential of artificial intelligence is available to governments.

6. Conclusion

This research examined the case of Artificial Intelligence (AI)'s potential to improve the public sector government efficiency and transparency in Telangana, India. The outcomes showed many claimed advantages of AI with big implementation challenges, and many of the relationships between those claimed advantages. Findings such as Wirtz et al. (2019) and Brynjolfsson & McAfee (2014) regarding technological change in government were validated by AI employee endorsements, such that AI can perform better in improving operational performance and decision systems. There is growing readiness among employees in the public sector to introduce new technological novelties, with the enthusiastic support they provide for the benefits of artificial intelligence.

Major issues in privacy violations were found related to prejudices in algorithms and inadequate training methods, which were uncovered in the research. According to O'Neil (2016) and Jain et al. (2021), data privacy concerns could arise in 75% of respondents, and the integration of AI could have a significant decline because of insufficient training. These are identified concerns that align with broader ethical and operational issues covered in other research on public governance AI implementation (Crawford & Paglen, 2021).

The study examines how Artificial Intelligence technology operates to boost government efficiency and increase transparency during public sector operations in Telangana, India. The study presented several relationships between the stated AI advantages and major implementation obstacles. Public sector workers believe Artificial Intelligence optimizes both operational efficiency and decision systems, which supports previous research by Wirtz et al. (2019), Brynjolfsson & McAfee (2014) about technological transformation in government. Staff members of the public sector exhibit increasing acceptance of modern technology through their extensive backing of artificial intelligence capabilities.

The conducted study identified multiple privacy violation issues combined with algorithmic bias and insufficient training strategies. AI project success rates demonstrate decreasing viability because

inadequate training acts as the leading impediment to integration, while data protection issues emerge in 75% of surveyed individuals, as mentioned by O'Neil (2016) and Jain et al. (2021). The identified problems in this research align with larger operational and ethical issues that experts have discussed regarding AI implementation in public governance (Crawford & Paglen, 2021).

Suggestions for Future Studies:

The evolution of public sector performance and citizen involvement after the IntelliAI system in countries over expansive periods is a topic worthy of study. Researching the time-related changes of artificial intelligence attitudes would be demonstrated by better longitudinal research provides a deeper understanding of how artificial intelligence attitudes change and impact organisational culture as well as the level of service quality of the organisation. Such evaluation of the factors that would affect individual departments in terms of the implementation and performance level of the artificial intelligence technology will assist in developing tailored implementation plans.

The implementation of public governance through AI technology in different states and countries enables policymakers in Telangana and other regions to learn both effective approaches and shared difficulties in order to create sound strategies. Contemporary knowledge of AI implementation across different departments can form the basis for strategic approaches to AI use in public services throughout various regions.

References

1. Braun, V., & Clarke, V. (2006). Using thematic analysis in psychology. *Qualitative Research in Psychology, 3*(2), 77-101. https://doi.org/10.1191/1478088706qp063oa
2. Brynjolfsson, E., & McAfee, A. (2014). *The second machine age: Work, progress, and prosperity in a time of brilliant technologies*. W. W. Norton & Company.
3. Chui, M., Manyika, J., & Miremadi, M. (2018). Where machines could replace humans—and where they can't (yet). McKinsey Global Institute. Retrieved from https://www.mckinsey.com/business-functions/mckinsey-digital/our-insights/where-machines-could-replace-humans-and-where-they-cant-yet
4. Crawford, K., & Paglen, T. (2021). *Excavating AI: The Politics of Images in Machine Learning Training Sets.* The A.S. knows. Retrieved from excavating.ai
5. Creswell, J. W., & Plano Clark, V. L. (2017). *Designing and conducting mixed methods research*. Sage Publications.
6. Dunsire, A., & Schofield, A. (2020). AI in public administration: A review of the literature. *Public Administration Review, 80*(4), 635-646. https://doi.org/10.1111/puar.13159
7. Gurzu, A. (2021). How AI is enhancing transparency in governance. *Digital Journal.* Retrieved from https://www.digitaljournal.com
8. Heinrich, E., & Huber, J. (2020). Constructivist approaches to English language teaching: An exploration of teachers' beliefs. *Language Teaching Research, 24*(3), 360-379.
9. Jain, M., Gaur, P., & Shukla, A. (2021). Data privacy concerns in the public sector: A study of AI applications in governance. *Government Information Quarterly, 38*(3), 101565. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.giq.2021.101565
10. Payá Santos, C. A, Delgado Morán, J. J. Martino, L; García Segura, L, A, Diz Casal, J, & Fernández Rodríguez, J, C. (2023). Fuzzy Logic analysis for managing Uncertain Situations. Review of Contemporary Philosophy Vol 22 (1), 2023 pp. 6780-6797. https://doi.org/10.52783/rcp.1132
11. Kumar, A., & Kumar, C. (2020). Digital governance in India: Opportunities and challenges. *Journal of Public Affairs, 20*(4), e2021. https://doi.org/10.1002/pa.2021
12. O'Neil, C. (2016). *Weapons of math destruction: How big data increases inequality and threatens democracy*. Crown Publishing Group.
13. Palinkas, L. A., Horwitz, S. M., Green, C. A., Wisdom, J. P., Duan, N., & Hoagwood, K. (2015). Purposeful sampling for qualitative data collection and analysis in mixed-method implementation research. *Administration and Policy in Mental Health and Mental Health Services Research, 42*(5),

533-544. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10488-013-0528-y

14. Phellas, C. N., Bloch, A., & Seale, C. (2011). Structured methods: A practical guide to interviews and focus groups. In C. Seale (Ed.), *Researching society and culture* (pp. 182-191). Sage Publications.
15. Reddick, C. G., & Turner, M. (2012). Citizen-centric e-government: A comparative exploration of citizen e-participation in the public sector. *International Journal of Public Administration, 35*(12), 872-883. https://doi.org/10.1080/01900692.2012.682562
16. Rao, S. (2021). Leveraging technology for transparency: Case studies from Telangana, India. *International Journal of Information Technology, 13*(1), 123-130. https://doi.org/10.1007/s41870-020-00439-9
17. Wirtz, B. W., Weyerer, J. C., & Geyer, C. (2019). Artificial intelligence in public administration: The impact of AI on governance. *Government Information Quarterly, 36*(2), 290-297. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.giq.2018.09.009